



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,942	06/27/2003	Larry A. Woodgeard	190250-1050	6969
38823 7590 09/04/2007 THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP/ AT&T BLS Intellectual Property, Inc. 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY SUITE 1750 ATLANTA, GA 30339			EXAMINER DAO, THUY CHAN	
			ART UNIT 2192	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/04/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/608,942		WOODGEARD, LARRY A.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Thuy Dao		2192	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ms. Hazzah (Reg. No. 48,472). (3) _____.

(2) Thuy Dao. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,8 and 12.


Identification of prior art discussed: Doyle (US Patent No. 6,009,455) and Volkov (US Patent No. 6,983,400).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Hazzah briefly pointed out the distinctions between the recited limitations in dependent claims 8 and 12 over the cited art Doyle and Volkov and discussed about the proposed amendments in claim 1. It was agreed that the Applicant will file an official reply/response and the examiner will carefully consider it accordingly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



TUAN DAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

**Thomas | Kayden**

THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP

100 Galleria Parkway, N.W.
Suite 1750
Atlanta, GA 30339-5948
tkhr.com

August 23, 2007

[Matter No.190250-1050]

TO:
Examiner Dao

FROM:
Karen G. Hazzah

FAX: 571-273-8570

FAX: 770-951-0933

TEL: 770-933-9500

TEL:

EMAIL:

RE: Application Serial No. 10/608,942

Please find attached the updated agenda for our telephone meeting on August 30, 2007 at 10 a.m.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Number of Pages (Including This Cover Sheet): - 2 - Page(s)

PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE SAFE AND CLEAR RECEIPT OF ALL PAGES.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information in this facsimile message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAWYERS

Atlanta, Georgia

Huntsville, Alabama

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:
Larry A. Woodgeard

Serial No.:
10/608,942

Filed:
June 27, 2003

For:
**Automating the Life Cycle of a Distributed
Computing Application**

Group Art Unit:
2192

Examiner:
Dao, Thuy Chan

Docket No.:
190250-1050

INTERVIEW AGENDA

Scheduled Date of Interview:
August 30, 2007 at 10 a.m.

Type of Interview: Telephonic

Interview Participants

Examiner Dao, Thuy Chan
Karen Hazzah (Reg.48,472)

Items to be discussed:

1. Claim 8: differences between "packages into single file all files needed to run the software application" and teachings of *Doyle*.
2. Claim 12: differences between "removes the software application from at least one computing resource" and teachings of *Doyle*.
3. Proposed amendment to independent claim 1, to add "wherein the task list includes a task which builds the distributing computing software application".
4. Any other amendments which the Examiner may propose to overcome the cited references.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Karen G. Hazzah/

**Karen G. Hazzah,
Reg. No. 48,472**

**THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER
& RISLEY, L.L.P.**
100 Galleria Parkway, NW
Suite 1750
Atlanta, Georgia 30339-5948
Tel: (770) 933-9500
Fax: (770) 951-0933